Title : will be set by the publisher
Editors : will be set by the publisher
EAS Publications Series, Vol. ?, 2015

arXiv:1512.06737v1 [astro-ph.SR] 21 Dec 2015

NOVA ERUPTIONS WITH INFRARED INTERFEROMETRIC OBSERVATIONS

Valério A. R. M. Ribeiro[1]

Abstract. Infrared interferometric observations have a great deal of potential to unravel the nature of the nova eruptions. We suggest that techniques, already in place, to derive the ejection details at optical wavelengths be used with infrared interferometric observations to derive parameters such as the ejected mass in a nova eruption. This is achievable based on modelling the initial phase of the eruption when the infrared light is dominated by the free-free thermal process.

1 Introduction

Classical and Recurrent Novae – distinguished by the observation of one or more eruptive events, respectively – are thermonuclear runaways (TNR) on the surface of a white dwarf (WD) star which ensues following extensive accretion of hydrogen rich material from a less evolved secondary star. The ejected material is rich in heavy elements which enrich the interstellar medium (Gehrz et al. 1998, Bode & Evans 2008, Gehrz et al. 2014, see also Gehrz et al., these proceedings).

The nova eruptions are the most common thermonuclear runaways in the Galaxy (34^{+15}_{-12} novae per year; Darnley et al. 2006, Shafter 1997) and scale with galaxy size (Shafter et al. 2014). The infrared temporal evolution of the nova is nicely described in Gehrz et al. (these proceedings) and for detailed reviews on interefometric observations of novae, see Chesneau & Banerjee (2012) and Chesneau (2014). Of particular interest, to this work, is that during the initial phases of the eruption the ejected material can be modelled as an optically thick fireball that radiates like a blackbody and as the expansion continues and reduces in density, the infrared continuum becomes dominated by optically thin free-free emission with strong hydrogen re-combination lines on top.

Observations of novae are going through a renaissance over the last decade or so driven by the upgrade of telescopes, instruments, and new discoveries (see the

[1] Department of Astrophysics/IMAPP, Radboud University, PO Box 9010, NL-6500 GL Nijmegen, The Netherlands

© EDP Sciences 2015
DOI: (will be inserted later)

various contributions in Woudt & Ribeiro 2014). Of particular note, the discovery of high energy γ-ray emission (Abdo et al. 2010, Ackermann et al. 2014) and pinpointing the location of γ-ray production, from internal shocks due to different velocity components at the equatorial and polar regions (Chomiuk et al. 2014).

A number of factors are required to be systematically studied across the electromagnetic spectrum in order to understand the evolution of the nova eruption. Of paramount importance, in any field of astrophysics, is the distance to an object. In the Milky Way, the distance to novae allow us to derive their spatial distribution in order to understand from which population/s novae arise from – disc/bulge – and also the occurrence of novae in our Galaxy.

2 Infrared Interferometric Observations of Novae in Eruption

The first observations with an infrared interferometer occurred with nova V1974 Cygni (1992) on the Mk III Interferometer (Quirrenbach et al. 1993). The nova was resolved aroudn 10 days after maximum light. Fitting a uniform disk model to the data the distance was estimated to be 2.5 kpc, in line with other studies (Paresce et al. 1995). There was one more nova-like eruptive event, in V838 Mon (Lane et al. 2005), that was followed with Palomar Testbed Interferometer (PTI). However, this event is largely accepted to have been the merger of two stars.

It was not until the recurrent nova RS Ophiuchi erupted in 2006 that infrared interferometric observations truly come to play. RS Oph was observed first with the Infrared Optical Telescope Array, Keck and PTI (Monnier et al. 2006), suggesting that the near-infrared emission arose due to a non-expanding, dense, and ionised circumbinary gaseous disk or reservoir. However, Lane et al. (2007) resolve the emission in RS Oph using PTI providing clear evidence for a near-infrared source that initially expanded and then began shrieking.

The AMBER instrument on the Very Large Telescope Interferometer (VLTI) was also used, on day 5.5 after eruption, to measure the K-band continuum and the Brγ and HeI 2.06 μm lines (Chesneau et al. 2007). The K-band emission is dominated by free-free emission and had a smaller size than the Brγ and HeI lines (3x2 mas, 5x3 mas, and 6x4 mas, respectively). These results were also contrary to Monnier et al. (2006). Furthermore, Chesneau et al. (2007) found two velocity fields in the Brγ line; a slowly expanding ring-like structure ($v_{\rm rad} \leq 1800$ km s^{-1}), and a fast structure extended in the E-W direction ($v_{\rm rad} \sim$ 2500–3000 km s^{-1}). A two velocity component was also required to replicate the Hubble Space Telescope narrow band imaging observations of the resolved remnant, at day 155 after eruption, and the ground-based optical spectroscopy (Ribeiro et al. 2009). Lastly, RS Oph observations with the Keck Interferometer Nuller, around day 3.8 after eruption, showed evidence for dust that is present in-between eruptions, rather than created during the eruption (Barry et al. 2008) in line with findings from the Spitzer Space Telescope of silicate dust that survives the hard radiation impulse and shock blast wave from the eruption (Evans et al. 2007).

Other novae have been observed since RS Oph with infrared interferometric instruments; the recurrent nova T Pyxidis (Chesneau et al. 2011), the dust form-

ing nova V1280 Sco (Chesneau et al. 2008, Chesneau et al. 2012), and the γ-ray detected classical nova V339 Delphini (2013; Schaefer et al. 2014).

3 Prospects for the Future

What is clear from the various bodies of work is that the nova eruption is far from spherical, or uniform disks. Efforts are now under way to understand the progress of the nova eruption from optical to radio observations applying one single model. For example, optical emission line profile fitting of the classical nova V959 Mon proved useful in determining, the ejection morphology, inclination angle and the expansion velocity of the ejecta (Ribeiro et al. 2013, Shore et al. 2013). These results are also corroborated with the observations of eclipses at x-ray and optical wavelengths (Page et al. 2013, Munari et al. 2013) and observations of a bipolar ejection morphology at radio frequencies (Chomiuk et al. 2014, Linford et al. 2015). Lastly, applying the free-free thermal process to the models derived at optical wavelengths above we were able to derive the distance to V959 Mon (Linford et al. 2015).

Up to now the observations have provided important information on the distances to these objects assuming uniform/Gaussian fitting to the visibilities/images when available as well as departure from these morphologies for the ejecta. In fact, the distance may also be constrained assuming the Blackbody angular radius and Doppler expansion velocity which give day of the eruption, the distance and the outburst luminosity (Evans & Gehrz 2012 and Gerzh et al. these proceedings). However, we can extract further information if we assume a thermal free-free emission. Here we will, also, be able to extract ejected masses, temperature of the ejecta, and density profiles of the ejecta. Ideally, we would want to combine all this information with other wavelengths, such as optical for the Doppler expansion velocity, in order to reduce the amount of free parameters and computational time. Although the distance is a very important issue, the GAIA satellite will largely solve this in the coming years. Furthermore, a suit of new instruments are to be installed in the VLTI which will provide marked improvement, both in resolution and sensitivity (MATISSE and GRAVITY), over current capabilities.

The author would like to thank Bob Gehrz for reading and commenting on the manuscript and Christiaan Brinkerink for useful discussion on interferometric observations. The author also acknowledges financial support from the Radboud Excellence Initiative.

References

Abdo, A. A., Ackermann, M., Ajello, M., et al. 2010, Science, 329, 817

Ackermann, M., Ajello, M., Albert, A., et al. 2014, Science, 345, 554

Barry, R. K., Danchi, W. C., Traub, W. A., et al. 2008, ApJ, 677, 1253

Bode, M. F. & Evans, A. 2008, editors *Classical Novae*, 2nd Edition (Cambridge University Press)

Chesneau, O. 2014, in *Stella Novae: Past and Future Decades*, eds. P. A. Woudt & V. A. R. M. Ribeiro, ASPCS, Vol. 490, 243

Chesneau, O. & Banerjee, D. P. K. 2012, BASI, 40, 267
Chesneau O., Banerjee D. P. K., Millour F., et al. 2008, A&A, 487, 223
Chesneau O., Clayton G. C., Lykou F., et al. 2009, A&A, 493, L17
Chesneau, O., Lagadec, E., Otulakowska-Hypka, M., et al. 2012, A&A, 545, A63
Chesneau O., Meilland A., Banerjee D. P. K., et al. 2011, A&A, 534, L11
Chesneau O., Nardetto N., Millour F., et al. 2007, A&A, 464, 119
Chomiuk, L., Linford, J. D., Yang, J., et al. 2014, Nature, 514, 339
Darnley, M. J., Bode, M. F., Kerins, E., et al. 2006, MNRAS, 369, 257
Evans, A., & Gehrz, R. D. 2012, Bulletin of the Astronomical Society of India, 40, 213
Evans, A., Woodward, C. E., Helton, L. A., et al. 2007, ApJL, 671, L157
Gehrz, R. D., Evans, A., Woodward, C. E. 2014, in *Stella Novae, Past and Future Decades*, eds. P. A. Woudt & V. A. R. M. Ribeiro, ASPCS, Vol. 490, 227
Gehrz, R. D., Truran, J. W., Williams, R. E., & Starrfield, S. 1998, PASP, 110, 3
Lane, B. F., Retter, A., Thompson, R. R., & Eisner, J. A. 2005, ApJL, 622, L137
Lane, B. F., Sokoloski, J. L., Barry, R. K., et al. 2007, ApJ, 658, 520
Linford, J. D., Ribeiro, V. A. R. M., Chomiuk, L., et al. 2015, ApJ, 805, 136
Monnier, J. D., Barry, R. K., Traub, W. A., et al. 2006, ApJL, 647, L127
Munari, U., Dallaporta, S., Castellani, F., et al. 2013, MNRAS, 435, 771
O'Brien, T. J., Bode, M. F., Porcas, R. W., et al. 2006, Nature, 442, 279
Page, K. L., Osborne, J. P., Wagner, R. M., et al. 2013, ApJL, 768, L26
Paresce, F., Livio, M., Hack, W., & Korista, K. 1995, A&A, 299, 823
Quirrenbach, A., Elias, N. M., II, Mozurkewich, D., et al. 1993, AJ, 106, 1118
Ribeiro, V. A. R. M., Bode, M. F., Darnley, M. J., et al. 2009, ApJ, 703, 1955
Ribeiro, V. A. R. M., Munari, U., & Valisa, P. 2013, ApJ, 768, 49
Schaefer, G. H., Brummelaar, T. T., Gies, D. R., et al. 2014, Nature, 515, 234
Shafter, A. W. 1997, ApJ, 487, 226
Shafter, A. W., Curtin, C., Pritchet, C. J., Bode, M. F., & Darnley, M. J. 2014, in *Stella Novae: Past and Future Decades*, eds. P. A. Woudt & V. A. R. M. Ribeiro, ASPCS, Vol. 490, 77
Shore, S. N., De Gennaro Aquino, I., Schwarz, G. J., et al. 2013, A&A, 553, A123
Woudt, P. A. & Ribeiro, V. A. R. M. 2014, editors *Stella Novae, Past and Future Decades*, ASPCS, Vol. 490

Questions

Q: Lizette Guzman: Have you used the expansion velocity in the optical compared to the radio emission?

A: Valério Ribeiro: Yes. We see this, at least in one case that comes to mind, when comparing the optical and radio imaging in RS Oph (Ribeiro et al. 2009, O'Brien et al. 2006).

Q: Jose Groh: I had the impression that your models have less flux at the central regions than observed at later times. Could you comment on the reasons for this behaviour and whether this is significant in the context of your models?

A: Valério Ribeiro: The models I showed assumed free-free thermal emission, so as input to the models we have ejection velocity, ejected mass, and temperature. We did not have time to produce a full set of parameter space in order to find the best fit. So what you are seeing is that the models with chosen input parameters became optically thin quicker than the observations. We really need to explore the fuller parameter space.